



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/095,032 06/10/1998 RONALD L. MOSGROVE		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/095,032			INPA.221	9175
7	590 12/03/2001			
WILLIAM W	. KIDD	EXAMINER		
12400 WILSH	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & IRE BOULEVARD	LEFKOWITZ	Z, SUMATI	
SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2181	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

			$\pi \circ$	
Application No.		Applicant(s)		
09/095,032		MOSGROVE, RONALD L.		
Examiner		Art Unit		
Sumati Lefkowitz		2181		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	
--------	--

Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 September 2001.					
2a)[_	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠	This action is non-	īnal.		
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.					
7)) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[[]	The specification is objected to by th	Exami	iner.			
10)[1	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ac	ccepted or b) objec	ted to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)[] 1	he oath or declaration is objected to	by the	Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) A	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a)	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment	(s)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	TO-948)	4) <u> </u>	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9.

6) Other:

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-46 are pending.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-46 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of copending Application No. 08/998,583. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both claim a bus system comprising a map of virtual addresses of bus devices to their corresponding physical addresses.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form

Art Unit: 2181

the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 1-9, 11-21, 23-29, 31-32, 33-37, 39-44, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Adamson et al., 5,761,448 (hereinafter Adamson).

As to claims 1-9, 11-21, 23-29, 31-32, 33-37, and 39-44, and 46 Adamson discloses a bus system comprising a dynamically configurable bus, a first bus device on the bus at a first virtual address and a first physical address, a second bus device on the bus at a second virtual address and a second physical address, and a map of the first and second virtual addresses to the first and second physical addresses, respectively, encoded on a program storage medium, the map being accessible over the bus, wherein the map resides on at least one of the first and second bus devices, wherein at least one of the first and second bus devices is a bus manager, wherein the bus manager comprises one of a workstation and a personal computer, wherein the map is stored on the bus manager, wherein the bus system implements a network, wherein at least one of the first and second bus devices is selected from the group comprising a printer, a plotter, a workstation, a personal computer, a video camera, and a magnetic tape drive, wherein the map is encoded as a structure from the group of an array, a doubly linked list, a tree, a table, and a file, that the bus is dynamically configurable and includes first and second buses coupled by a bridge, and that the mapping is performed only for the bus devices on the particular one of the first and second dynamically configurable buses experiencing a configuration event (note abstract,

Art Unit: 2181

Figures 1, and 6-8, column 2, lines 31-41, column 3, lines 19-50, column 5, lines 1-67, and column 14, lines 10-19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 10, 22, 30, 38, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adamson et al., 5,761,448 (hereinafter Adamson).

As to claims 10, 22, 30, 38, and 45, Adamson fails to disclose that the map is bi-directional.

Examiner takes Official Notice that bi-directional maps are well known in the art of address/id mapping.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of a bi-directional map in the system of Adamson so as to provide more flexibility in accessing the map by allowing the data in the map to be indexed with more than one index.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumati Lefkowitz whose telephone number is 703-308-7790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:45-3:15.

Art Unit: 2181

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W Beausoliel can be reached at 703-305-9713.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

703-746-7239 for Official communications

703-746-7240 for Non-Official/Draft communications

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Sumati Lefkowitz
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2181

sl November 30, 2001